

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 1)
July 2020

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number: 2024-1826

Short Case Caption: Jacki Easlick, LLC v. CJ Emerald

Filing Party/Entity: Appellants, Jacki Easlick, LLC, JE Corporate LLC

Instructions: Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.

Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case
Western District of Pa	23-cv-2000	Patent

Relief sought on appeal: None/Not Applicable

Vacate Order of Court denying motion for reconsideration.

Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): None/Not Applicable

Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:

The district court denied the motion for reconsideration finding that there was no new evidence, no change in the law, and no error in the law, as to the Defendant AccEncyc.

Nature of judgment (select one): Date of judgment: 4/17/2024

- Final Judgment, 28 USC § 1295
- Rule 54(b)
- Interlocutory Order (specify type) _____ Denied Motion for Reconsideration
- Other (explain) _____

FORM 26. Docketing Statement**Form 26 (p. 2)**
July 2020

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court, and the name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued. None/Not Applicable

24-1538 pending before this Court (appeal from Judge Stickman's order denying motion for preliminary injunction at 23-cv-2000)

Issues to be raised on appeal: None/Not Applicable

Did the district court err when it denied the motion for reconsideration as to the Defendant AccEncyc US?

Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case?

Yes No

If "yes," when were the last such discussions?

- Before the case was filed below
- During the pendency of the case below
- Following the judgment/order appealed from

If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? Yes No

If they were mediated, by whom?

Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? Yes No

Explain.

Given the facts and procedural posture of the case, the parties would benefit from an amicable settlement here.

Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court's mediation program.

Date: 5/28/2024

Signature: /Stanley D. Ference III/

Name: Stanley D. Ference III